

## PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q61035

Masahiko FUJITA, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/688,867

Group Art Unit: 2834

Confirmation No.: 2472

Examiner: TRAN N. NGUYEN

Filed: October 17, 2000

For: IRON CORE

IRON CORE OF ROTATING-ELECTRIC MACHINE AND MANUFACTURING

METHOD FOR THE SAME

## STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Sir:

April 27, 2004:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on

## **REMARKS**

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was attached with the Advisory Action dated May 13, 2004.

During the interview, the following was discussed: Claims 2 and 15:

- 1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None Presented
- 2. Identification of claims discussed: Claims 2 and 15
- 3. Identification of art discussed: Adachi and JP'201
- 4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: The Examiner indicated that a recitation of the structure that enables the end portions of the cylindrical core proximal portion of

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

U.S. APPLN. NO.: 09/688,867

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Q61035

the laminate to have a lower rigidity than that of the remainder thereof, may place the case in

condition for allowance

5. Brief Identification of principal arguments:

During the interview, Applicant's representatives explained what are the distinguishable aspects of the present invention, as recited in claims 2 and 15, over the applied references. However, the Examiner stated that, given a reasonably broad interpretation of the claims, the arguments were not persuasive. The Examiner, however, indicated that a recitation of the structure that enables the end portions of the cylindrical core proximal portion of the laminate to have a lower rigidity than that of the remainder thereof, may place the case in condition for allowance.

6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: None

7. Results of Interview: No agreement reached.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

washington office 23373 customer number

Date: June 1, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Diallo T. Crenshaw

Registration No. 52,778